UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,248	10/17/2005	Thomas Brueggert	3081.128US01	6990
			EXAMINER	
4800 IDS CEN			TRAN, MY CHAU T	
80 SOUTH 8TH STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Occurrence	10/553,248	BRUEGGERT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MY-CHAU T. TRAN	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Oc	ctober 2006				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-52</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>25-52</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers	·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
, ,	animor. Note the attached Cines	71011017 01 1011117 1 0 102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application			
Tapor Holophinali Date	o/				

Application/Control Number: 10/553,248 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Application and Claims Status

1. Applicant's preliminary amendment filed 10/23/2006 are acknowledged and entered.

- 2. Claims 1-24 were pending. Applicants have cancelled claims 1-24; and added claims 25-
- 52. Therefore, claims 25-52 are currently pending and are under consideration in this Office

Action. Additionally, applicant has amended the Abstract and filed a substitute specification.

However, the substitute specification is *non-compliant* because it failed to meet the requirements

of 37 CFR 1.121, specifically 37 CFR 1.121 (b)(3), and 37 CFR 1.125 (b) and (c). See also

MPEP § 714. Here, applicant failed to provide a) a statement that the substitute specification

includes no new matter, b) an instruction to replace the specification, and d) supply a clean

version of the substitute specification.

Election/Restrictions

3. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 25-30, is drawn to an arrangement for the display of images of a scene or object with the structural features combination of a plane wavelength filter array arranged behind the image display device and a light emitting illuminator arranged behind the wavelength filter array.

Group II, claims 31-41, is drawn to an arrangement for the display of images of a scene or object with the structural features combination of an illuminating device arranged behind the image display device and the wavelength filter array having first and second positions.

Group III, claims 42-49, is drawn to an arrangement for the display of images of a scene or object with the structural feature a wavelength filter array having a front face and arranged in front of or behind the image display device.

Page 3

Group IV, claims 50-52, is drawn to an arrangement for the display of images of a scene or object with the structural feature a wavelength filter array having a first mode of operation and a second mode of operation.

4. The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature linking Groups I-IV appears to be that they all relate to an autostereoscopic display comprising an image display device, a filter device, and a light emitting illuminator device. This special technical feature is well known in the art. Moreover, Eichenlaub et al. (US Patent 5,897,184) discloses an autostereoscopic display comprising a liquid crystal display, a 2-D/3-D variable diffuser, and an illuminating light guide (see e.g. Abstract; col. 3, lines 20-45; col. 9, line 17 thru col. 10, line 24; figs. 1, 9, and 10). Therefore, the technical feature linking Groups I-IV does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, as it does not define a contribution over the prior art.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MY-CHAU T. TRAN whose telephone number is (571)272-0810. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday: 8:00-2:30; Tuesday-Thursday: 7:30-5:00; Friday: 8:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard A. Hjerpe can be reached on 571-272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MY-CHAU T. TRAN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2629

May 30, 2008